

12/03
8 October 2003

FINAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

APPLICATION A486

MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS


FOOD STANDARDS AUSTRALIA NEW ZEALAND (FSANZ)

FSANZ's role is to protect the health and safety of people in Australia and New Zealand through the maintenance of a safe food supply. FSANZ is a partnership between ten Governments: the Commonwealth; Australian States and Territories; and New Zealand. It is a statutory authority under Commonwealth law and is an independent, expert body.

FSANZ is responsible for developing, varying and reviewing standards and for developing codes of conduct with industry for food available in Australia and New Zealand covering labelling, composition and contaminants. In Australia, FSANZ also develops food standards for food safety, maximum residue limits, primary production and processing and a range of other functions including the coordination of national food surveillance and recall systems, conducting research and assessing policies about imported food.

The FSANZ Board approves new standards or variations to food standards in accordance with policy guidelines set by the Australia and New Zealand Food Regulation Ministerial Council (Ministerial Council) made up of Commonwealth, State and Territory and New Zealand Health Ministers as lead Ministers, with representation from other portfolios. Approved standards are then notified to the Ministerial Council. The Ministerial Council may then request that FSANZ review a proposed or existing standard. If the Ministerial Council does not request that FSANZ review the draft standard, or amends a draft standard, the standard is adopted by reference under the food laws of the Commonwealth, States, Territories and New Zealand. The Ministerial Council can, independently of a notification from FSANZ, request that FSANZ review a standard.

The process for amending the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* is prescribed in the *Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991* (FSANZ Act). The diagram below represents the different stages in the process including when periods of public consultation occur. This process varies for matters that are urgent or minor in significance or complexity.



Final Assessment Stage (s.36)

FSANZ has now completed the assessment of the Application and held a single round of public consultation under section 36 of the FSANZ Act. This Final Assessment Report and its recommendations have been approved by the FSANZ Board and notified to the Ministerial Council.

If the Ministerial Council does not request FSANZ to review the draft amendments to the Code, an amendment to the Code is published in the *Commonwealth Gazette* and adopted by reference and without amendment under Australian State and Territory food law.

Further Information

Further information on this and other matters should be addressed to the Standards Liaison Officer at the Food Standards Australia New Zealand at one of the following addresses:

Food Standards Australia New Zealand
PO Box 7186
Canberra BC ACT 2610
AUSTRALIA
Tel (02) 6271 2222
www.foodstandards.gov.au

Food Standards Australia New Zealand
PO Box 10559
The Terrace WELLINGTON 6036
NEW ZEALAND
Tel (04) 473 9942
www.foodstandards.govt.nz

Assessment reports are available for viewing and downloading from the FSANZ website www.foodstandards.gov.au or alternatively paper copies of reports can be requested from the Authority's Information Officer at info@foodstandards.gov.au including other general enquiries and requests for information.

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND STATEMENT OF REASONS.....	6
STATEMENT OF REASONS	6
1. INTRODUCTION.....	7
1.1 SUMMARY OF PROPOSED MRLS	7
1.2 ANTIBIOTIC MRLS	7
1.3 REDUCTION IN THE ESTIMATED DIETARY EXPOSURE FOR DITHIOCARBAMATES	7
2. REGULATORY PROBLEM.....	8
2.1 CURRENT REGULATIONS	8
3. OBJECTIVE.....	8
3.1 CONSIDERATION OF ISSUES UNDER SECTION 10 OF THE <i>FOOD STANDARDS AUSTRALIA NEW ZEALAND ACT 1991</i>	8
4. BACKGROUND.....	9
4.1 THE USE OF AGRICULTURAL AND VETERINARY CHEMICALS	9
4.2 MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMIT APPLICATIONS.....	10
4.3 MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS	10
4.4 FOOD STANDARDS-SETTING IN AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND	11
4.5 TRANS TASMAN MUTUAL RECOGNITION ARRANGEMENT.....	11
4.6 LIMIT OF QUANTIFICATION	11
4.7 MRLS FOR PERMITS	11
5. EVALUATION OF ISSUES RAISED IN PUBLIC COMMENT.....	12
5.1 SUBMISSION BY THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITY.....	12
5.2 SUBMISSION BY THE AUSTRALIAN FOOD AND GROCERY COUNCIL	12
6. OPTIONS.....	14
6.1 OPTION 1 – STATUS QUO – NO CHANGE TO THE EXISTING MRLS IN THE CODE	14
6.2 OPTION 2(A) – ADOPT THE CHANGE TO MRLS TO DELETE OR DECREASE SOME EXISTING MRLS	14
6.3 OPTION 2(B) – ADOPT THE CHANGES TO MRLS TO INCLUDE OR INCREASE SOME EXISTING MRLS	15
7. AFFECTED PARTIES.....	15
8. IMPACT ANALYSIS	15
8.1 OPTION 1 – STATUS QUO – NO CHANGE TO THE EXISTING MRLS IN THE CODE	15
8.2 OPTION 2(A) – ADOPT THE CHANGES TO MRLS TO DELETE OR DECREASE SOME EXISTING MRLS	16
8.3 OPTION 2(B) – ADOPT THE CHANGES TO MRLS TO INCLUDE NEW MRLS OR INCREASE SOME EXISTING MRLS	17
8.4 CONCLUSION.....	18
9. CONSULTATION	19
9.1 WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION NOTIFICATION	19

10.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	20
11.	IMPLEMENTATION AND REVIEW	20
	ATTACHMENT 1- DRAFT VARIATIONS TO THE <i>AUSTRALIA NEW ZEALAND</i>	
	<i>FOOD STANDARDS CODE</i>	22
	ATTACHMENT 2 - A SUMMARY OF THE REQUESTED MRLS FOR EACH	
	CHEMICAL AND AN OUTLINE OF THE INFORMATION SUPPORTING THE	
	REQUESTED CHANGES TO THE <i>AUSTRALIA NEW ZEALAND FOOD</i>	
	<i>STANDARDS CODE</i>	26
	ATTACHMENT 3 - BACKGROUND TO DIETARY EXPOSURE ASSESSMENTS ..	33
	ATTACHMENT - 4 SUMMARY OF PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS	36

Executive Summary and Statement of Reasons

This Application (A486) seeks to amend Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) for non-antibiotic agricultural and veterinary chemicals in the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* (the Code). It is a routine application from the then National Registration Authority for Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals (NRA) (now known as the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA)), to update the Code in order to reflect current registration status of agricultural and veterinary chemicals in use in Australia.

The *Agreement between the Commonwealth of Australia and the Government of New Zealand to establish a system for the development of joint food standards* (the Treaty), excluded MRLs for agricultural and veterinary chemicals in food from the joint Australia New Zealand food standards setting system. Australia and New Zealand independently and separately develop MRLs for agricultural and veterinary chemicals in food.

The dietary exposure assessments indicate that the residues associated with the proposed MRLs do not represent an unacceptable risk to public health and safety.

There are no MRLs for antibiotic residues in this Application.

Statement of Reasons

FSANZ recommends progressing this Application for the following reasons:

- The dietary exposure assessments indicate that the residues associated with the MRLs do not represent an unacceptable risk to public health and safety. The APVMA has already registered the chemical products associated with the MRLs in this Application and the rejection of the MRLs would result in legally treated food not being able to be legally sold. Therefore, the requested changes will benefit all stakeholders by maintaining public health and safety while permitting the legal sale of food treated with agricultural and veterinary chemicals to control pests and diseases and improve agricultural productivity.
- The APVMA has assessed appropriate toxicology, residue, animal transfer, processing and metabolism studies, in accordance with the *Guidelines for Registering Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals, the Ag and Vet Requirements Series, 1997*, to support the use of chemicals on commodities as outlined in this Application.
- The Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) of the Commonwealth Department of Health and Ageing has undertaken an appropriate toxicological assessment of the chemical products and has established relevant acceptable daily intakes (ADI) and where applicable, acute reference doses (ARfD).
- FSANZ has undertaken a preliminary regulation impact assessment process. That process concluded that the amendment to the Code is necessary, cost effective and of benefit to both producers and consumers.
- none of FSANZ's section 10 objectives of food regulatory measures are compromised by the proposed changes.

1. Introduction

Applications were received from the then NRA on 13 January, 20 February and from the APVMA on 14 March 2003 seeking amendment to Standard 1.4.2 of the Code. The proposed amendments to the Standard would align MRLs in the Code for non-antibiotic agricultural and veterinary chemicals with the MRLs in the APVMA MRL Standard.

1.1 Summary of proposed MRLs

The MRL amendments under consideration in this Application are:

- the deletion of MRLs for certain foods for the chemicals azoxystrobin, bifenthrin and dithiocarbamates;
- the addition of MRLs for certain foods for the new chemicals flunixin;
- the addition of MRLs for certain foods for the chemicals bifenthrin, captan, chlorfenapyr, dithiocarbamates, glufosinate ammonium and indoxacarb;
- the changing of MRLs for certain foods for the chemicals bentazone, captan, fluquinconazole, iprodione, methomyl, pyriproxyfen; and
- the addition of temporary MRLs for certain foods for the chemicals azoxystrobin, buprofezin, captan, diafenthiuron, diazinon, emamectin, methoprene, pymetrozine.

In considering the issues associated with MRLs it should be noted that MRLs and amendments to MRLs do not permit or prohibit the use of agricultural and veterinary chemicals. The approvals for the use of agricultural and veterinary chemicals and the control of the use of agricultural and veterinary chemicals are regulated by other Commonwealth, State and Territory legislation.

1.2 Antibiotic MRLs

There are no MRLs for antibiotic residues in this Application.

1.3 Reduction in the estimated dietary exposure for dithiocarbamates

The APVMA has recently refined the estimated dietary exposure of dithiocarbamates to more accurately take into account those crops for which there is an approved label use of the chemical. This has resulted in a decrease in the estimated dietary exposure of the residues of this chemical. Where previously the dietary exposure was calculated to be equivalent to 96% of the ADI it is now calculated to be equivalent to 72% of the ADI.

2. Regulatory Problem

2.1 Current Regulations

The APVMA has approved the use of the agricultural and veterinary chemical products associated with the MRLs in this Application, and made consequent amendments to the APVMA MRL Standard. The approval of the use of these products now means that there is a discrepancy between the residues associated with the use and the MRLs in the Code. In turn, this means that:

- where the APVMA has increased MRLs, food cannot be legally sold under food legislation if it contains residues in excess of the existing MRLs in the Code;
- where the APVMA has included MRLs for new chemicals or for additional foods that are not included in the Code, the particular food cannot be legally sold under food legislation if it contains any detectable residues of the particular chemical; and
- where the APVMA has decreased or deleted MRLs, food may be legally sold under food legislation if it contains residues that are inconsistent with the current registered uses of chemical products.

3. Objective

The objective of this Application is to ensure that the residues associated with the proposed MRLs do not represent an unacceptable risk to public health and safety and that the proposed MRLs permit the legal sale of food that has been legally treated. The APVMA has already established MRLs under the APVMA's legislation, and now seeks, by way of this Application to include the amendments in the Code.

3.1 Consideration of Issues under Section 10 of the *Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991*

In developing or varying a food standard, FSANZ is required by its legislation to meet three primary objectives which are set out in section 10 of the FSANZ Act. These are:

3.1.1 The protection of public health and safety

The Office of Chemical Safety of the TGA establish the ADI and where applicable the ARfD for the agricultural and veterinary chemicals. The APVMA and FSANZ carry out estimations of dietary exposure to agricultural and veterinary chemicals and compare them to the TGA standards. Based on dietary exposure assessments, the residues associated with the proposed MRLs do not represent an unacceptable risk to public health and safety.

3.1.2 The provision of adequate information relating to food to enable consumers to make informed choices

This is not relevant for this Application.

3.1.3 The prevention of misleading or deceptive information

This is not relevant for this Application.

In addition to these objectives, subsection 10(2) requires FSANZ to have regard to a number of matters set out in paragraphs 10(2)(a) to (d). Each of these matters is discussed below.

3.1.4 The need for standards to be based on risk analysis using the best available scientific evidence

FSANZ considers proposed MRLs in accordance with the best available scientific evidence. The procedures adopted by FSANZ, the TGA and the APVMA are based on a comprehensive examination of detailed scientific information. That includes a rigorous toxicological assessment and dietary exposure assessments undertaken in accordance with international protocols.

3.1.5 The promotion of consistency between domestic and international food standards

This is addressed in section 9.

3.1.6 The desirability of an efficient and internationally competitive food industry

The inclusion of the requested MRLs would assist in permitting the legal sale of legally treated food. Varying the Code to include the proposed MRLs would promote trade and commerce and allow food industries to continue to be efficient and competitive.

3.1.7 The promotion of fair trading in food

As the MRLs in the Code apply to all food whether produced domestically or imported, the inclusion of the MRLs would benefit all producers equally.

4. Background

4.1 The use of agricultural and veterinary chemicals

In Australia, the APVMA is responsible for registering agricultural and veterinary chemical products, granting permits for use of chemical products and regulating the sale of agricultural and veterinary chemical products. Following the sale of these products, the use of the chemicals is then regulated by State and Territory ‘control of use’ legislation.

Before registering such a product, the APVMA must be satisfied that the use of the product will not result in residues that would be an undue risk to the safety of people, including people using anything containing its residues.

When a chemical product is registered for use or a permit for use granted, the APVMA includes MRLs in its APVMA MRL Standard. These MRLs are then adopted into control of use legislation in some jurisdictions and assist States and Territories in regulating the use of agricultural and veterinary chemicals.

4.2 Maximum Residue Limit applications

After registering the agricultural or veterinary chemical products, based on their scientific evaluations, the APVMA makes applications to FSANZ to adopt the MRLs in Standard 1.4.2 of the Code. FSANZ reviews the information provided by the APVMA and validates whether the dietary exposure is within agreed safety limits.

If satisfied that the residues do not represent an unacceptable risk to public health and safety and subject to adequate resolution of any issues raised during public consultation, FSANZ will then agree to adopt the proposed MRLs into Standard 1.4.2.

FSANZ then notifies the Australia and New Zealand Food Regulation Ministerial Council, which is made up of Commonwealth, State and Territory and New Zealand Health Ministers, of its decision. If the Council does not seek a review of the FSANZ decision, the MRLs are gazetted and automatically adopted by reference under the food laws of the Commonwealth and the Australian States and Territories.

The inclusion of the MRLs in the Code has the effect of allowing legally treated produce to be legally sold, provided that the residues in the treated produce do not exceed the MRL. Changes to Australian MRLs reflect the changing patterns of agricultural and veterinary chemicals available to farmers. These changes include both the development of new products and crop uses, and the withdrawal of older products following review.

Appropriate toxicology, residue, animal transfer, processing and metabolism studies were provided to the APVMA in accordance with the *Guidelines for Registering Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals, the Ag and Vet Requirements Series, 1997* to support the MRLs in the commodities as outlined in this Application. Full evaluation reports for individual chemicals are available upon request from the relevant Project Manager at FSANZ on +61 2 6271 2222.

4.3 Maximum Residue Limits

The MRL is the highest concentration of a chemical residue that is legally permitted or accepted in a food. The MRL does not indicate the amount of chemical that is always present in a treated food but it does indicate the highest residue that could possibly result from the registered conditions of use. The concentration is expressed in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) of the food.

MRLs assist in indicating whether an agricultural or veterinary chemical product has been used according to its registered use and if the MRL is exceeded then this indicates a likely misuse of the chemical product.

MRLs are also used as standards for the international trade in food. In addition, MRLs, while not direct public health limits, act to protect public health and safety by minimising residues in food consistent with the effective control of pests and diseases.

As stated above, the APVMA includes MRLs in its APVMA MRL Standard when they register a chemical product for use or grant a permit for use. The APVMA then notifies FSANZ of these MRLs so that FSANZ may consider them for inclusion into the Code. In relation to MRLs, FSANZ's role is to ensure that the potential residues in food do not represent an unacceptable risk to public health and safety.

FSANZ will not agree to MRLs where the dietary exposure to the residues of a chemical could represent an unacceptable risk to public health and safety. In assessing this risk, FSANZ conducts dietary exposure assessments in accordance with internationally accepted practices and procedures.

In summary, the MRLs in the APVMA MRL Standard are used in some jurisdictions to assist in regulating the use of agricultural and veterinary chemical products under State and Territory 'control-of-use' legislation. Whereas the MRLs in the Code apply in relation to the sale of food under State and Territory food legislation and the inspection of imported foods by the Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service.

4.4 Food Standards-setting in Australia and New Zealand

The Treaty excluded MRLs for agricultural and veterinary chemicals in food from the joint food standards setting system. Australia and New Zealand separately and independently develop MRLs for agricultural and veterinary chemicals in food.

4.5 Trans Tasman Mutual Recognition Arrangement

Following the commencement of the Trans Tasman Mutual Recognition Arrangement (TTMRA) between Australia and New Zealand on 1 May 1998:

- food produced or imported into Australia, which complies with Standard 1.4.2 of the Code can be legally sold in New Zealand; and
- food produced or imported into New Zealand, which complies with the *New Zealand (Maximum Residue Limits of Agricultural Compounds) Mandatory Food Standard, 1999* can be legally sold in Australia.

4.6 Limit of Quantification

Some of the proposed MRLs in this Application are at the limit of quantification (LOQ) and are indicated by an * in the 'Summary of the Requested MRLs for each Chemical...' (Attachment 2). The LOQ is the lowest concentration of an agricultural or veterinary chemical residue that can be identified and quantitatively measured in a specified food, agricultural commodity or animal feed with an acceptable degree of certainty by a regulatory method of analysis. The inclusion of the MRLs at the LOQ means that no detectable residues of the relevant chemical should occur. FSANZ incorporates MRLs at the LOQ in the Code to assist in identifying a practical benchmark for enforcement and to allow for future developments in methods of detection that could lead to a lowering of this limit.

4.7 MRLs for Permits

Some of the proposed MRLs in this Application are temporary and are indicated by a 'T' in the 'Summary of the Requested MRLs for each Chemical...' (Attachment 2). These MRLs may include uses associated with:

- the minor use program;
- off-label permits for minor and emergency uses; or

- trial permits for research.

FSANZ does not issue permits or grant permission for the temporary use of agricultural and veterinary chemicals. Further information on MRLs for permits can be found on the website of the APVMA at <http://www.apvma.gov.au/> or by contacting the APVMA on +61 2 6272 5158.

5. Evaluation of Issues Raised in Public Comment

The submission from the Department of Agriculture Fisheries and Forestry stated that ‘AQIS does not expect that the amendment would present any major operational issue.’

The Food Technology Association of Victoria accepted the Application.

5.1 Submission by the European Community

The European Community (EC) provided comments on the chemicals flunixin and emamectin in this application. However, the EC comments related to the use of products containing this chemicals and not the estimated dietary exposure to its residues. Their submission:

- requested information on the usage pattern for the chemicals flunixin that would lead to the establishment of the MRL for this chemical that was lower than those adopted by the EC; and
- stated that in the EC the chemical emamectin falls in the category of ‘dual use substances’ i.e. it may be used as a veterinary medicinal product and as a pesticide.

As it is the APVMA which has the responsibility to register the use of agricultural or veterinary chemicals, FSANZ has forwarded the EC request for information on meloxicam and tolfenamic acid to the APVMA. The APVMA will respond directly to Australian Sanitary and Phytosanitary contact point regarding the request received from the EC.

5.2 Submission by the Australian Food and Grocery Council

The submission from the Australian Food and Grocery Council (AFGC) expressed concerns about several matters which are dealt with below.

5.2.1 Impacts of MRL reductions and deletions

The AFGC expressed concerns about the impact of the MRL reduction for iprodione for rape seed and the deletion of the MRL for bifenthrin for cherries. Specifically, the AFGC expressed concerns about the possible implications for imported food which may have been treated with these chemicals.

In relation to the potential impact of the MRL reductions or deletions provisions of Amendment 61 of the Code provides a period of grace for any further variation to the *Food Standards Code* for ‘stock in trade’.

These provisions allow a period of 12 months from the date of the Code amendment, for the AFGC or its members to investigate the significance of any deletions and if necessary make an Application to reinstate relevant MRLs.

There are a number of additional points that need to be made in response to the AFGC's concerns.

Firstly, FSANZ can only accept or reject an Application in its entirety. This means that FSANZ must progress all the MRL amendments in the Application from the APVMA or reject all the MRL amendments. In the case of this Application it means that FSANZ cannot retain certain MRLs while progressing the other MRL amendments in this Application.

Secondly, while the AFGC has expressed reservations about these deletions, they have not provided scientific data to support the retention of the MRLs proposed for deletion or reduction. Scientific data are required to support MRLs in the Code so that they have a sound scientific basis. As no scientific data has been provided, FSANZ considers that the MRLs proposed for deletion or reduction should be progressed.

Thirdly, a demonstrated need for an MRL is required to retain an MRL, as this ensures that all MRLs in the Code are relevant and that residues are kept as low as reasonably achievable. While expressing reservations about some deletions and reductions the AFGC have not provided sufficient evidence to substantiate that these MRLs amendments would disadvantage importers of food commodities.

Lastly, retaining MRLs proposed for deletion by the APVMA would result in an inconsistency between domestic food and agricultural legislation. This would create complications for enforcement which would undermine the efficiency of domestic food production. Some inconsistency may be warranted where there is specific evidence indicating that a difference is required.

In summary neither sufficient scientific data nor evidence were provided to support the retention of MRLs proposed for deletion or reduction. Taking into account the costs in retaining these MRLs and the period of grace for 'stock in trade', FSANZ considers that all the MRL amendments as proposed by the APVMA should be progressed. Thereby maintaining the relevancy of the Code and maintaining residues as low as reasonably achievable.

5.2.2 Food and Beverage Importers Association and AQIS

The AFGC submission suggested that FSANZ discuss the proposed MRL deletions and reductions with the Food and Beverages Importers Association (FBIA) and AQIS. The FBIA and AQIS are informed of the substance and progress of all applications and proposals to amend the Code. FSANZ has contacted the FBIA and, at this time the FBIA can provide no additional data in support the retention of MRLs. The Department of Agriculture Fisheries and Forestry has made a submission on this application. Their submission states 'At this stage, AQIS does not expect that the amendment would present any major operational issue.' On the basis of these discussions, FSANZ does not consider that either the FBIA or AQIS have any substantive objection to the MRL amendments as proposed by the APVMA.

5.2.3 *Potential costs to importers and domestic manufacturers*

The AFGC submission states that FSANZ is ‘ignoring the potential costs to importers and domestic manufacturer’ by recommending deletion and reductions for certain MRLs. FSANZ does not accept this view. The Initial/Draft Assessment specifically includes a Regulatory Impact section that specifically asks importers to identify the costs that may be associated with the proposed deletions and reductions. To assist in identifying possible impacts where imported food may be affected, FSANZ provided relevant data on the food imported for the past two years. FSANZ then requested comment as to any possible ramifications for imports from the proposed deletions or reduction. FSANZ is genuinely interested in receiving information about the costs for importers and domestic manufacturers and must ensure that these costs are taken into account in assessing MRL applications.

Australia as a member of WTO is obliged to notify WTO member nations where proposed mandatory regulation measures are inconsistent with any existing on imminent international standards and the proposed measure may have a significant effect on trade. FSANZ makes WTO notifications for all MRL applications and proposals.

5.2.4 *MRLs and GMOs*

The AFGC submission states that FSANZ is ‘exhibiting double standards’ in the treatment of applications for MRLs and genetically modified foods. FSANZ does not accept this. In assessing applications for foods derived from gene technology, FSANZ undertakes a specific assessment of each food. This specific assessment takes into account that such foods may be imported.

In the same way, specific MRLs associated with residues in imported food can be considered by making an Application to FSANZ to amend the *Food Standards Code* to include the MRLs associated with the residues in imported food. FSANZ has already received applications of this type and would encourage further applications to include MRLs that the AFGC considers should be included in the *Food Standards Code*. On this basis, FSANZ regards its approach in relation to MRLs to be consistent, legal and soundly based.

6. Options

6.1 Option 1 – status quo – no change to the existing MRLs in the Code

Under this option, the status quo would be maintained and there would be no changes in the existing MRLs to the Code.

6.2 Option 2(a) – adopt the change to MRLs to delete or decrease some existing MRLs

Under this option, only those variations that were reductions and deletions would be assessed for inclusion into the Code. The proposed increases and inclusions of new MRLs have not been assessed.

6.3 Option 2(b) – adopt the changes to MRLs to include or increase some existing MRLs

Under this option, only those variations that were increases and additions of MRLs are assessed for inclusion into the Code. The proposed decreases and deletions of MRLs have not been assessed.

Option 2 has been arranged into two sub-options because the impacts of each sub-option are different.

Splitting the option into two sub-options also allows a more detailed impact analysis. However, FSANZ cannot legally separate these two sub-options and may only accept or reject the Application.

7. Affected Parties

The parties affected by proposed MRL amendments include:

- consumers, including domestic and overseas customer
- growers and producers of domestic and export food commodities;
- importers of agricultural produce and foods; and
- Commonwealth, State and Territory agencies involved in monitoring and regulating the use of agricultural and veterinary chemicals in food and the potential resulting residues.

8. Impact Analysis

The impact analysis represents likely impacts based on available information. The impact analysis is designed to assist in the process of identifying the affected parties, any alternative options consistent with the objective of the proposal, and the potential impacts of any regulatory or non-regulatory provisions. The information included in the final assessment of this application will include information from public submissions.

8.1 Option 1 – status quo – no change to the existing MRLs in the Code

8.1.1 Benefits

- for consumers the major benefit would be the maintenance of the existing confidence in the food supply in relation to residues of agricultural and veterinary chemicals;
- for growers and producers of domestic and export food commodities, the adoption of this option would not result in any discernable benefits;
- for importers, the adoption of this option would not result in any discernable benefits; and
- for Commonwealth, State and Territory agencies, the adoption of this option would not result in any discernable benefits.

8.1.2 *Costs*

- for consumers there are unlikely to be any discernable costs as the unavailability of some food from certain growers is likely to be seen as typical seasonal fluctuations in the food supply. FSANZ invited comment on whether these costs are likely to be discernable by consumers but no comments were received;
- for growers and producers of domestic and export food commodities, the adoption of this option would result in costs resulting from not being able to legally sell food containing residues consistent with increased MRLs or MRL additions. Primary producers do not produce food or use chemical products to comply with MRLs. They use chemical products to control pests and diseases in accordance with the prescribed label conditions, and expect that the resulting residues will be acceptable and that the legally treated food can be legally sold. If the legal use of chemical products results in the production of food that cannot be legally sold under food legislation then primary producers will incur substantial losses. Major losses for primary producers would in turn impact negatively upon rural and regional communities;
- for importers, the adoption of this option would not result in any discernable costs; and
- for Commonwealth, State and Territory agencies, the adoption of this option would create discrepancies between agricultural and food legislation thereby creating uncertainty, inefficiency and confusion in the enforcement of regulations.

8.2 Option 2(a) – adopt the changes to MRLs to delete or decrease some existing MRLs

8.2.1 *Benefits*

- for consumers the major benefit would be the maintenance of the existing confidence in the food supply in relation to residues of agricultural and veterinary chemicals;
- for growers and producers of domestic and export food commodities, the adoption of this option would not result in any discernable benefits;
- for importers, the adoption of this option would not result in any discernable benefits; and
- for Commonwealth, State and Territory agencies, the adoption of this option would foster community confidence that regulatory authorities are maintaining the standards to minimise residues in the food supply.

8.2.2 *Costs*

- for consumers there are unlikely to be any discernable costs as the unavailability of some food from certain importers is likely to be seen as typical seasonal fluctuations in the food supply. FSANZ invited comment on whether these costs are likely to be discernable by consumers but no comments were received;

- for growers and producers of domestic and export food commodities, the adoption of this option is unlikely to result in any costs, as reductions in MRLs are adopted where this is practically achievable, with little or no impact on production costs;
- for importers, the adoption of this option may result in costs, as foods may not be able to be imported if these foods contained residues consistent with the MRLs proposed for deletion or reduction.
- any MRL deletions or reductions have the potential to restrict the importation of foods and could potentially result in higher food costs and a reduced product range available to consumers, as foods that exceed the new, lower MRLs could not be legally imported or sold to consumers. To identify any restrictions and possible trade impacts, Codex MRLs and data on imported foods have been considered in assessing the reductions and deletions within this proposal (see below). FSANZ invited comments from importers on the impacts of the deletions or reduction of MRLs and while general concerns were expressed, no specific data was provided to justify the retention of any specific MRLs; and
- for Commonwealth, State and Territory agencies, the adoption of this option would not result in any discernable costs, although there would need to be an awareness of changes in the standards for residues in food.

Codex MRLs

Codex MRLs are addressed in section 9.

Imported Foods

Issues relating to imported foods are addressed in section 9.

8.3 Option 2(b) – adopt the changes to MRLs to include new MRLs or increase some existing MRLs

8.3.1 Benefits

- for consumers the major benefit would be potential flow on benefits resulting from the price and availability of food if growers can legally sell food containing residues consistent with increased MRLs or MRL additions;
- for growers and producers of domestic and export food commodities, the benefits of this option would result from being able to legally sell food containing residues consistent with increased MRLs or MRL additions. Other benefits include the consistency between agricultural and food legislation thereby minimising compliance costs to primary producers;
- for importers, the adoption of this option would result in the benefit that food could be legally imported if it contained residues consistent with increased MRLs or MRL additions; and

- for Commonwealth, State and Territory agencies, the benefits of this option would include the removal of discrepancies between agricultural and food legislation thereby creating certainty and allowing efficient enforcement of regulations.

8.3.2 *Costs*

- for consumers there are no discernable costs;
- for growers and producers of domestic and export food commodities, the adoption of this option would not result in any discernable costs;
- for importers, the adoption of this option would not result in any discernable costs; and
- for Commonwealth, State and Territory agencies, the adoption of this option would not result in any discernable costs, although there may be minimal impacts associated with slight changes to residue monitoring programmes.

8.4 **Conclusion**

Option 1 is a viable option but its adoption would result in:

- discrepancies between agricultural and food legislation which could have negative impacts on the compliance costs of primary producers, perception problems in export markets and undermine the efficient enforcement of standards for chemical residues; and
- potential substantial costs to primary producers that may have a negative impact on their viability and in turn the viability of the rural and regional communities that depend upon the sale of the agricultural produce.

FSANZ's preferred approach is adopt Options 2(a) and 2(b) – to adopt the change to MRLs in the Code to include new MRLs and increase some existing MRLs and to delete or decrease some existing MRLs. FSANZ prefers this approach because:

- the residues associated with the MRL amendments would not result in an unacceptable risk to public health and safety (this benefit also applies to Option 1);
- the changes would minimise the potential costs to primary producers and rural and regional communities in terms of legally being able to sell legally treated food;
- the changes would minimise residues consistent with the effective use of agricultural and veterinary chemicals to control pests and diseases; and
- the changes would remove discrepancies between agricultural and food legislation and assist enforcement.

Adopting option 2(a) may result in compliance costs for importers and industry where there are decreases or deletions of MRLs. However, there is no information to suggest these costs would be incurred.

9. Consultation

9.1 World Trade Organization Notification

As a member of the WTO, Australia is obligated to notify WTO member nations where proposed mandatory regulatory measures are inconsistent with any existing or imminent international standards and the proposed measure may have a significant effect on trade.

MRLs prescribed in the Code constitute a mandatory requirement applying to all food products of a particular class whether produced domestically or imported. Food products exceeding their relevant MRL set out in the Code cannot legally be supplied in Australia.

In administrative terms and consistent with international practice, MRLs assist in regulating the use of agricultural and veterinary chemical products as they indicate whether agricultural and veterinary chemical products have been used in accordance with the registered conditions of use.

MRLs, while not direct public health limits, act to protect public health and safety by minimising residues in food consistent with the effective control of pests and diseases. MRLs are also used as standards for the international trade in food.

This Application contains variations to MRLs which are addressed in the international Codex standard. MRLs in this application also relate to chemicals used in the production of heavily traded agricultural commodities that may indirectly have a significant effect on trade of derivative food products between WTO members.

This Application was notified as a Sanitary and Phytosanitary (SPS) measure in accordance with the WTO SPS agreement because the primary objective of the measure is to support the regulation of the use of agricultural and veterinary chemical products to protect human, animal and plant health and the environment. As discussed in section 5 of this document, the EC made a submission.

9.1.2 Codex MRLs

The standards of the Codex Alimentarius Commission are used as the relevant international standard or basis as to whether a new or changed standard requires a WTO notification. There are no MRLs proposed to be deleted, in this Application, which are more restrictive than the relevant Codex MRL.

9.1.3 Imported Foods

Agricultural and veterinary chemicals are used differently in countries other than in Australia because of different pests or diseases or because different products may be used. This means that residues in imported food may still be safe for human consumption but may be different from those in domestically produced food.

Deletions or reductions of MRLs may affect imported food which may be complying with existing MRLs even though these existing MRLs are no longer required for domestically produced food. This is because imported food that may contain residues consistent with the MRLs proposed for deletion or reduction.

To assist in identifying possible impacts where imported food may be affected, FSANZ has compiled the following table that states the imported quantity of relevant foods for the years 2000 and 2001. These data are for foods for which deletions or reductions of MRLs are proposed.

Food	2000 Tonnes	2001 Tonnes
Cherries	4264	4547
Rape seed	117	3947

FSANZ requested comment as to any possible ramifications for imports of the deletion or reductions of the MRLs in this Application and while general concerns were expressed, no specific data was provided to justify the retention of any specific MRLs.

10. Conclusion and Recommendation

The dietary exposure assessments indicate that the residues associated with the proposed MRLs do not represent an unacceptable risk to public health and safety. The APVMA has already registered the chemical products and rejection of the MRLs would result in legally treated food not being able to be legally sold. Therefore, accepting the requested changes will benefit all stakeholders by maintaining public health and safety while permitting the legal sale of food treated with agricultural and veterinary chemicals to control pests and diseases and improve agricultural productivity.

11. Implementation and Review

The use of chemical products and MRLs are under constant review as part of the APVMA's Existing Chemical Review Programme. In addition, regulatory agencies involved in the regulation of chemical products continue to monitor health, agricultural and environmental issues associated with the use of chemical products. The residues in food are also monitored through:

- State and Territory residue monitoring programmes;
- Commonwealth programmes such as the National Residue Survey; and
- dietary exposure surveys such as the Australian Total Diet Survey.

These monitoring programmes and the continual review of the use of agricultural and veterinary chemicals mean that considerable scope exists to review MRLs on a continual basis.

At this time it is proposed that the proposed MRL amendments should come into effect upon gazettal and continue to be monitored by the same means as other residues in food.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Draft Variations to the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code*
2. A Summary of the Requested MRLs for each Chemical and an Outline of the Information Supporting the Requested Changes to the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code*
3. Background to Dietary Exposure Assessments
4. Summary of Submissions Received

ATTACHMENT 1

Draft Variations to the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code*

To commence: On gazettal

[1] *Standard 1.4.2 of the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code is varied by –*

[1.1] *omitting from Schedule 1 under the entry for the following chemical the chemical residue definition and substituting:*

GLUFOSINATE AND GLUFOSINATE-AMMONIUM SUM OF GLUFOSINATE-AMMONIUM, N-ACETYL GLUFOSINATE AND 3-[HYDROXY(METHYL)- PHOSPHINOL] PROPIONIC ACID, EXPRESSED AS GLUFOSINATE (FREE ACID)
--

[1.2] *inserting in Schedule 1–*

FLUNIXIN FLUNIXIN	
CATTLE KIDNEY	0.02
CATTLE LIVER	0.02
CATTLE MEAT (IN THE FAT)	0.02

[1.3] *omitting from Schedule 1 the foods and associated MRLs for each of the following chemicals –*

AZOXYSTROBIN AZOXYSTROBIN	
PISTACHIO NUT	T*0.01
BIFENTHRIN BIFENTHRIN	
STONE FRUITS	T1
DITHIOCARBAMATES TOTAL DITHIOCARBAMATES, DETERMINED AS CARBON DISULPHIDE EVOLVED DURING ACID DIGESTION AND EXPRESSED AS MILLIGRAMS OF CARBON DISULPHIDE PER KILOGRAM OF FOOD	
EGG PLANT	3
OKRA	3
PEPPERS (CAPSICUMS)	T3
SWEET CORN (CORN-ON-THE-COB)	0.5
TOMATO	3

[1.4] inserting in alphabetical order in Schedule 1, the foods and associated MRLs for each of the following chemicals –

AZOXYSTROBIN AZOXYSTROBIN	
TREE NUTS	T0.02
BIFENTHRIN BIFENTHRIN	
STONE FRUITS [EXCEPT CHERRIES]	1
BUPROFEZIN BUPROFEZIN	
CUCUMBER	T0.5
EGG PLANT	T1
GRAPES	T*0.01
PEAR	T*0.01
SQUASH, SUMMER	T0.5
TOMATO	T1
CAPTAN CAPTAN	
DRIED GRAPES	15
EGGS	*0.02
POULTRY, EDIBLE OFFAL OF	*0.02
POULTRY MEAT	*0.02
TREE NUTS	T0.3
CHLORFENAPYR CHLORFENAPYR	
CHINESE CABBAGE	0.5
DIAFENTHURION SUM OF DIAFENTHURION; N-[2,6-BIS(1-METHYLETHYL)-4-PHENOXYPHENYL]-N'-(1,1-DIMETHYLETHYL)UREA; AND N-[2,6-BIS(1-METHYLETHYL)-4-PHENOXYPHENYL]-N'-(1,1-DIMETHYLETHYL) CARBODIIMIDE, EXPRESSED AS DIAFENTHURION	
PEANUT	T0.1
DIAZINON DIAZINON	
PARSLEY	T.07
DITHIOCARBAMATES TOTAL DITHIOCARBAMATES, DETERMINED AS CARBON DISULPHIDE EVOLVED DURING ACID DIGESTION AND EXPRESSED AS MILLIGRAMS OF CARBON DISULPHIDE PER KILOGRAM OF FOOD	
FRUITING VEGETABLES, OTHER THAT CUCURBITS [EXCEPT ROSELLE]	3

EMAMECTIN EMAMECTIN B1A, PLUS ITS 8,9-Z ISOMER AND EMAMECTIN B1B, PLUS ITS 8,9-Z ISOMER	
FRUITING VEGETABLES, OTHER THAN CUCURBITS	T*0.01
LETTUCE, HEAD	T0.2
LETTUCE, LEAF	T0.2
GLUFOSINATE AND GLUFOSINATE-AMMONIUM SUM OF GLUFOSINATE-AMMONIUM, N-ACETYL GLUFOSINATE AND 3-[HYDROXY(METHYL)- PHOSPHINOL] PROPIONIC ACID, EXPRESSED AS GLUFOSINATE (FREE ACID)	
EGGS	*0.05
POULTRY, EDIBLE OFFAL OF	*0.1
POULTRY MEAT	*0.05
RAPE SEED	*0.05
INDOXACARB INDOXACARB	
MUNG BEAN (DRY)	0.2
SOYA BEAN (DRY)	0.2
SOYA BEAN OIL, REFINED	0.2
METHOPRENE METHOPRENE, SUM OF CIS- AND TRANS-ISOMERS	
BARRAMUNDI	T1
PYMETROZINE PYMETROZINE	
EGG PLANT	T0.05
TOMATO	T0.2

[1.5] omitting from Schedule 1, under the entries for the following chemicals, the maximum residue limit for the food, substituting –

BENTAZONE BENTAZONE	
EDIBLE OFFAL (MAMMALIAN)	*0.05
EGGS	*0.05
MEAT (MAMMALIAN)	*0.05
MILKS	*0.05
POULTRY, EDIBLE OFFAL OF	*0.05
POULTRY MEAT	*0.05
RICE	*0.03
CAPTAN CAPTAN	
EDIBLE OFFAL (MAMMALIAN)	*0.05
MEAT (MAMMALIAN)	*0.05
MILKS	*0.01
FLUQUINCONAZOLE FLUQUINCONAZOLE	
RAPE SEED	*0.01

IPRODIONE IPRODIONE	
RAPE SEED	0.5
METHOMYL SUM OF METHOMYL AND METHYL HYDROXYTHIOACETIMIDATE ('METHOMYL OXIME') EXPRESSED AS METHOMYL <i>SEE ALSO THIODICARB</i>	
GUAVA	3
PYRIPROXYFEN PYRIPROXYFEN	
FRUITING VEGETABLES, OTHER THAN CUCURBITS	T1

ATTACHMENT 2

A Summary of the Requested MRLs for Each Chemical and an Outline of the Information Supporting the Requested Changes to the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code*.

The Full Evaluation Reports for individual chemicals are available upon request from the relevant Project Manager at FSANZ.

NOTES ON TERMS USED IN THE TABLE

ADI – Acceptable Daily Intake - The ADI is the daily intake of an agricultural or veterinary chemical, which, during the consumer's entire lifetime, appears to be without appreciable risk to the health of the consumer. This is based on all the known facts at the time of the evaluation of the chemical. The ADI is expressed in milligrams of the chemical per kilogram of body weight.

ARfD – Acute Reference Dose - The ARfD is the estimate of the amount of a substance in food, expressed on a body weight basis, that can be ingested over a short period of time, usually during one meal or one day, without appreciable health risk to the consumer, on the basis of all the known facts at the time of evaluation.

LOQ - Limit of Quantification - The LOQ is the lowest concentration of a pesticide residue contaminant that can be identified and quantitatively measured in a specified food, agricultural commodity or animal feed with an acceptable degree of certainty by a regulatory method of analysis.

NEDI - National Estimated Dietary Intake - The NEDI represents a more realistic estimate of dietary exposure and is the preferred calculation. It may incorporate more refined food consumption data including that for specific sub-groups of the population. The NEDI calculation may take into account such factors as the proportion of the crop or commodity treated; residues in edible portions; the effects of processing and cooking on residue levels; and may use median residue levels from supervised trials other than the MRL to represent pesticide residue levels. In most cases the NEDI is still an overestimation because the above data is often not available and in these cases the MRL is used.

NESTI - National Estimated Short Term Intake - The NESTI is used to estimate acute dietary exposure. Acute (short term) dietary exposure assessments are undertaken when an ARfD has been determined for a chemical. Acute dietary exposures are normally only estimated based on consumption of raw unprocessed commodities (fruit and vegetables) but may include consideration of meat, offal, cereal, milk or dairy product consumption on a case-by-case basis. FSANZ has used ARfDs set by the TGA and Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues, the consumption data from the 1995 NNS and the MRL when the STMR is not available to calculate the NESTIs.

The NESTI calculation incorporates the large portion (97.5 percentile) food consumption data and can take into account such factors as the highest residue on a composite sample of an edible portion; the supervised trials median residue (STMR), representing typical residue in an edible portion resulting from the maximum permitted pesticide use pattern; processing factors which affect changes from the raw commodity to the consumed food and the variability factor.

The following are examples of entries and the proposed MRLs listed are not part of this Application.

Fipronil			
Berries and other small fruits [except grapes and strawberry]	Delete	T*0.01	This chemical is a phenylpyrazole. The APVMA has extended the trial permit for this chemical to control Western Flower Thrip in strawberry. An MRL for fipronil on strawberry is required to accommodate the use as a bait for fruit fly. This use is not expected to result in residues and so the MRL is proposed at the LOQ. NESTI = <1% of ARfD for berries NEDI = 60% of ADI
Berries and other small fruits [except wine grapes]	Add	T*0.01	
Strawberry	Delete	T0.5	

The NESTI is an assessment of the acute exposure which is compared to the acute reference dose (ARfD). More information is in the glossary on the NESTI and the ARfD. To be acceptable to FSANZ, the NESTI must be less than 100% of the ARfD because the ARfD is considered the 'safe' level.

Acute Reference Dose (ARfD) more information on this term is in the glossary

The NEDI is an assessment of the chronic exposure which is compared to the acceptable daily intake (ADI). More information is in the glossary on the NEDI and the ADI. To be acceptable to FSANZ, the NEDI must be less than 100% of the ADI because the ADI is considered the 'safe' level.

Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) more information on this term is in the glossary

Information about the use of the chemical is provided so consumers can see the reason why the residues may occur in food.

Data from the Australian Total Diet Survey (ATDS) is provided when available because it provides an indication of the typical exposure to chemicals in table ready foods. The ATDS results are more realistic because the NEDI and NESTI calculations are theoretical calculations that conservatively overestimate exposure.

Chlorpyrifos Coffee beans	Add T0.5	APVMA extension of use for the control of pests. The 18 th ATDS (1996) dietary exposure estimate for chlorpyrifos, as a percentage of the ADI is equivalent to 0.53% of ADI for adult males and up to 1.42% for 2 year olds. The 19 th ATDS (1998) dietary exposure estimate for chlorpyrifos, as a percentage of the ADI is equivalent to 0.51% of ADI for adult males and up to 2.55% of ADI for 2 year olds. NEDI = 83% of ADI
-------------------------------------	----------	--

Glossary;

1. **ADI** Acceptable Daily Intake.
2. **APVMA** Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority
3. **ARfD** Acute Reference Dose.
4. **ATDS** Australian Total Diet Survey.
5. **ECRP** Existing Chemical Review Program
6. **LOQ** Limit of Analytical Quantification.
7. **NEDI** National Estimated Daily Intake.
8. **NESTI** National Estimated Short Term Intake.
9. **NNS** National Nutrition Survey of Australia 1995
10. **LOQ** MRL set at or about the limit of quantification.
11. **T** Temporary MRL.

Chemical Food	MRL	mg/kg	Information
Azoxystrobin Pistachio nut Tree nuts	Delete Add	T*0.01 T0.02	This chemical is a strobilurin fungicide. The APVMA has extended the permit for this chemical to be used to control fungus on tree nut crops. NEDI = <1% of ADI.
Bentazone Edible offal (mammalian) Eggs Meat (mammalian) Milks Poultry, edible offal of Poultry meat Rice	Delete Substitute Delete Substitute Delete Substitute Delete Substitute Delete Substitute	T*0.05 *0.05 T*0.05 *0.05 T*0.05 *0.05 T*0.05 *0.05 T*0.05 *0.05 T*0.03 *0.03	This chemical is a benzothiadiazinone: it is used to control weeds in rice crops. As these proposed MRLs are at the LOQ, no residues should be detected. NEDI = <1% of ADI.
Bifenthrin Stone fruits Stone fruits [except cherries]	Delete Add	T1 1	This chemical is a pyrethroid; it is used to control carpophilus beetle in stone fruits. NEDI = 68% of ADI.
Buprofezin Cucumber Egg plant Grapes Pear Squash, summer Tomato	Add Add Add Add Add Add	T0.5 T1 T*0.01 T*0.01 T0.5 T1	This chemical is an insecticide The APVMA has issued a permit for this chemical to be used to control insects on vegetables crops. NEDI = 14% of ADI.
Captan Dried grapes Edible offal (mammalian) Eggs	Add Delete Substitute Add	15 0.05 *0.05 *0.02	This chemical is a phthalimide; it is used as a fungicide on grape crops. The proposed MRLs for animal commodities are in relation to the APVMA's Stockfeed Guideline project.

Captan (cont) Meat (mammalian)	Delete Substitute	0.05 *0.05	No changes to the actual uses of the chemical are involved. As these proposed MRLs for animal commodities are at the LOQ, no residues in these commodities should be detected. The APVMA has issued a permit for this chemical to be used to control fungus on tree nut crops. NEDI = 8% of ADI.
Milks	Delete Substitute	0.01 *0.01	
Poultry, edible offal of	Add	*0.02	
Poultry meat	Add	*0.02	
Tree nuts	Add	T0.3	
Chlorfenapyr Chinese cabbage	Add	0.5	This chemical is a pyrazole analogue; it is used to control insects on Chinese cabbage. NEDI = 7% of ADI
Diafenthiuron Peanut	Add	T0.1	This chemical is an insecticide and an acaricide. The APVMA has issued a permit for this chemical to be used to control insects and mites on peanut crops. NEDI = 6% of ADI
Diazinon Parsley	Add	T0.7	This chemical is an organophosphorus and is under active review by the APVMA. The APVMA has issued a permit for this chemical to be used to control insects on parsley crops. In the 20 th (2000) ATDS the concentrations of residues of diazinon in surveyed foods were less than the LOQ. On the basis of this result and taking into account the consumption of parsley, FSANZ considers that the residues associated with the MRL would not represent an unacceptable risk to public health and safety. NESTI = 42% of ARfD for 2-6 years old and 22% for the whole population. NEDI = 94% of ADI

Dithiocarbamates			
Eggplant	Delete	3	This chemical is an alkylenebis. It is used to control fungus on vegetable crops. In the 19 th (1998) ATDS the estimated dietary exposure to thiram (the dithiocarbamate with the lowest ADI) was at 63% of the ADI two year olds and 20% of the ADI for adult males. On the basis of results from the 1998 ATDs, that the MRLs relate to mancozeb and that mancozeb has a higher ADI than thiram, FSANZ considers that the residues associated with the MRL would not represent an unacceptable risk to public health and safety. NEDI = 72% of ADI for mancozeb.
Okra	Delete	3	
Peppers (Capsicums)	Delete	T3	
Sweet corn (corn-on-the cob)	Delete	0.5	
Tomato	Delete	3	
Fruiting vegetables, other than cucurbits [except Roselle]	Add	3	
Emamectin			
Fruiting vegetables, other than cucurbits	Add	T*0.01	This chemical is an avermectin. The APVMA has issued a permit for this chemical to be used to control insects on vegetable crops. NEDI = 3% of ADI
Lettuce, head	Add	T0.2	
Lettuce, leaf	Add	T0.2	
Flunixin			
Cattle kidney	Add	0.02	This chemical is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (derived from nicotinic acid); it is used to control pain in animals. NESTI = <1% for the whole population and for children 2-6 years of age. NEDI = <1% of ADI.
Cattle liver	Add	0.02	
Cattle meat (in the fat)	Add	0.02	
Fluquinconazole			
Rape seed	Delete Substitute	T*0.01 *0.01	This chemical is an azole fungicide; it is used as a seed treatment prior to planting to control fungus on rapeseed. As this proposed MRL is at the LOQ, no residues should be detected. NEDI = 21 % of ADI
Glufosinate-ammonium			
Eggs	Add	*0.05	This chemical is a phosphinic acid; it is used to control various weeds in canola. As these proposed MRLs are at the LOQ, no residues should be detected. NEDI = 7% of ADI.
Poultry, edible offal of	Add	*0.1	
Poultry meat	Add	*0.05	
Rape seed	Add	*0.05	
Indoxacarb			
Mung bean (dry)	Add	0.2	This chemical is an oxadiazine; it is used to control insects on legume crops. NEDI = 68% of ADI.
Soya bean (dry)	Add	0.2	
Soya bean oil, refined	Add	0.2	

Iprodione Rape seed	Delete Substitute	1 0.5	This chemical is a dicarboximide; it is used to control fungus on rapeseed crops. In the 19 th (1998) and 20 th (2000) ATDSs the estimated dietary exposure to iprodione was at 1% of the ADI for the whole population. NEDI = 60% of ADI.
Methomyl Guava	Delete Substitute	T0.5 3	This chemical is an oxime carbamate; it is used to control insects and mites on guava crops. In the 19 th (1998) ATDS the concentrations of residues of methomyl in surveyed foods were less than the LOQ. NEDI = 83% of ADI.
Methoprene Barramundi	Add	T1	This chemical is a juvenile hormone mimic. The APVMA has issued a permit for this chemical to be used to control midge populations in barramundi growth tanks. NEDI = 2% of ADI
Pymetrozine Egg plant Tomato	Add Add	T0.05 T0.2	This chemical is an azomethine. The APVMA has issued a permit for this chemical to be used to control insects on egg plant and tomatoes. NEDI = 8% of ADI
Pyriproxyfen Fruiting vegetables, other than cucurbits	Delete Substitute	T0.2 T1	This chemical is a juvenile hormone mimic. The APVMA has reviewed the permit for this chemical to be used to control insects on egg plant and tomatoes. NEDI = 2% of ADI

Background to Dietary Exposure Assessments

Before an agricultural or veterinary chemical is registered, the *Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals Code, 1994 (Ag Vet Code Act)* requires the APVMA to be satisfied that there will not be any appreciable risk to the consumer, to the person handling, applying or administering the chemical, to the environment, to the target crop or animal or to trade in an agricultural commodity.

FSANZ's primary role in developing food regulatory measures for agricultural and veterinary chemicals is to ensure that the potential residues in treated food do not represent an unacceptable risk to public health and safety. In assessing the public health and safety implications of chemical residues, considers the dietary exposure to chemical residues from all foods in the diet by comparing the overall dietary exposure with the relevant health standard. FSANZ will not adopt MRLs where the dietary exposure to the residues of a chemical could represent an unacceptable risk to public health and safety. In assessing this risk, FSANZ conducts dietary exposure assessments in accordance with internationally accepted practices and procedures.

The three steps undertaken in conducting a dietary exposure assessment are the:

- determination of the residues of a chemical in a treated food;
- determination of the acceptable health standard for a chemical in food (i.e. the acceptable daily intake and/or the acute reference dose); and
- calculating the dietary exposure to a chemical from all foods and comparing this to the acceptable health standard.

Determination of the residues of a chemical in a treated food

The APVMA assesses a range of data when considering the proposed use of a chemical product on a food. These data enable the APVMA to determine what the likely residues of a chemical will be on a treated food. These data also enable the APVMA to determine what the maximum residues will be on a treated food if the chemical product is used as proposed and from this, the APVMA determines an MRL.

The MRL is the maximum level of a chemical that may be in a food and it is not the level that is usually present in a treated food. However, incorporating the MRL into food legislation means that the residues of a chemical are minimised (i.e. must not exceed the MRL), irrespective of whether the dietary exposure assessment indicates that higher residues would not represent an unacceptable risk to public health and safety.

Determination of the acceptable health standard for a chemical in food

The Office of Chemical Safety of the Therapeutic Goods Administration assesses the toxicology of agricultural and veterinary chemicals and establishes the ADI and where applicable, the ARfD for a chemical.

Both the APVMA and FSANZ use these health standards in dietary exposure assessments.

The ADI is the daily intake of an agricultural or veterinary chemical, which, during the consumer's entire lifetime, appears to be without appreciable risk to the health of the consumer. This is on the basis of all the known facts at the time of the evaluation of the chemical. It is expressed in milligrams of the chemical per kilogram of body weight.

The ARfD of a chemical is the estimate of the amount of a substance in food, expressed on a body weight basis, that can be ingested over a short period of time, usually during one meal or one day, without appreciable health risk to the consumer, on the basis of all the known facts at the time of evaluation.

Calculating the dietary exposure

The APVMA and FSANZ undertake chronic dietary exposure assessments for all agricultural and veterinary chemicals and undertake acute dietary exposure assessments where either the TGA or Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues has established an ARfD.

The APVMA and FSANZ have agreed that all dietary exposure assessments for agricultural and veterinary chemicals undertaken by the APVMA will be based on food consumption data for raw commodities, derived from individual dietary records from the latest 1995 National Nutrition Survey (NNS). The Australian Bureau of Statistics with the Commonwealth Department of Health and Ageing undertook the NNS survey over a 12-month period (1995 to early 1996). The sample of 13,858 respondents aged 2 years and older was a representative sample of the Australian population and, as such, a diversity of food consumption patterns was reported.

Chronic Dietary Exposure Assessment

The National Estimated Daily Intake (NEDI) represents a realistic estimate of chronic dietary exposure if the data are available and is the preferred calculation. It may incorporate more refined food consumption data including that for specific sub-groups of the population. The NEDI calculation may take into account such factors as the proportion of the crop or commodity treated; residues in edible portions and the effects of processing and cooking on residue levels; and may use median residue levels from supervised trials rather than the MRL to represent pesticide residue levels. When adequate information is available, monitoring and surveillance data or total diet studies may also be used such as the Australian Total Diet Survey (ATDS).

Where the data are not available on the specific residues in a treated food then a cautious approach is taken and the MRL is used. The use of the MRL in dietary exposure estimates may result in considerable overestimates of exposure because it assumes that the entire national crop is treated with a pesticide and that the entire national crop contains residues equivalent to the MRL. In reality, only a portion of a specific crop is treated with a pesticide; most treated crops contain residues well below the MRL at harvest; and residues are usually reduced during storage, preparation, commercial processing and cooking. It is also unlikely that every food for which an MRL is proposed will have been treated with the same pesticide over the lifetime of consumers.

In conducting chronic dietary exposure assessments, the APVMA and FSANZ consider the residues that could result from the use of a chemical product on all foods. If specific data on the residues are not available then a cautious approach is taken and the MRL is used.

The residues that are likely to occur in all foods are then multiplied by the daily consumption of these foods derived from individual dietary records from the latest 1995 National Nutrition Survey (NNS). These calculations provide information on the level of a chemical that is consumed for each food and take into account the consumption of processed foods e.g. apple pie and bread. These calculations for each food are added together to provide the total dietary exposure to a chemical from all foods.

This figure is then divided by the average Australian's bodyweight to provide the amount of chemical consumed per day per kg of human bodyweight. This is compared to the ADI. It is therefore the overall dietary exposure to a chemical that is compared to the ADI - not the MRL. FSANZ considers that the chronic dietary exposure to the residues of a chemical is acceptable where the best estimate of this exposure does not exceed the ADI.

These calculations are overestimates of dietary exposure because they usually assume that all of a particular food will contain the proposed chemical. This is not the case but for the purposes of undertaking a risk assessment, it is important to be conservative in the absence of reliable data to refine the dietary exposure estimates further.

Acute Dietary Exposure Assessment

The National Estimated Short Term Intake (NESTI) is used to estimate acute dietary exposure. Acute (short term) dietary exposure assessments are undertaken when an ARfD has been determined for a chemical. Acute dietary exposures are normally only estimated for raw unprocessed commodities (fruit and vegetables) but may include consideration of meat, offal, cereal, milk or dairy product consumption on a case-by-case basis.

The NESTI is calculated in a similar way to the chronic dietary exposure. The residues of a chemical in a specific food are multiplied by the 97.5 percentile food consumption of that food (high consumer), a variability factor is applied and this result is compared to the ARfD. NESTIs are calculated from ARfDs set by the TGA and the Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues, the consumption data from the 1995 National Nutrition Survey and the MRL when the data on the actual residues in foods are not available. FSANZ considers that the acute dietary exposure to the residues of a chemical is acceptable where the acute dietary exposure does not exceed the ARfD.

ATTACHMENT 4

Summary of Public Submissions

Submitter	Comments raised
Australian Food and Grocery Council	Supported the addition of and increase in MRLs. Did not support the deletion and reduction of some MRLs
Agriculture Fisheries Forestry Australian	Does not expect that the amendment would present any major operational issues.
European Community	Commented on some uses associated with the proposed MRL amendments.
Food Technology Association of Victoria	Accepted the Application.